

Petitioner: _____
*Tus Neeg ua
Ntawv Foob:
-VS-
-xub nrog-*

Respondent: _____
*Tus Neeg
Raug Foob:*

- Order for**
- Denying Petition for Injunction
 Dismissing Injunction

***Txiat Txim rau
Tsis Pom Zoo ua Daim
Ntawv Tiv Thaiv thiab
Kev Sab Laj
Txwv Tsis Pub Muaj
Kev Sab Laj***

Case No. _____
Tus Lej Cim.

This form does not replace the need for an interpreter, any colloquies mandated by law, or the responsibility of the court and counsel to ensure that persons with limited English proficiency fully comprehend their rights and obligations.

Tsab ntawv no hloov tsis tau tus neeg txhais lus, txawm hais tias muaj kev sib tham txog dab tsi raws li txoj cai, lossis yog lub luag haujlwm ntawm lub tsev hais plaub thiab tus kws lij choj kom tus neeg uas tsis txawj lus Meskas muaj kev nkag siab txog nws cov kev cai thiab nws cov haujlwm yuav tau ua ntawv.

THE COURT FINDS AT OR AFTER THE INJUNCTION HEARING:

LUB TSEV HAIS PLAUB TAU LOS TXIAV TXIM THAUM LOSSIS TOM QAB KEV SAB LAJ:

1. The petitioner failed to meet the burden of proof of the appropriate statute:

Tus neeg ua ntawv foob tsis muaj pov thawj txaus raws li cov kev cai lij choj:

- Domestic abuse: §813.12, Wisconsin Statutes.
Tsim Txom Tsev Neeg: §813.12, xeev Wisconsin Tsab Cai.
- Child abuse: §813.122 and §48.25(6), Wisconsin Statutes.
Tsim Txom Menyuam: §813.122 thiab §48.25(6), xeev Wisconsin Tsab Cai.
- Individual at Risk: §813.123, Wisconsin Statutes.
Tib Meeg Muaj kev Phom Sij: §813.123, xeev Wisconsin Tsab Cai.
- Harassment: §813.125 and §48.25(6), Wisconsin Statutes.
Sib Thab Tsis Raug Cai: §813.125 thiab §48.25(6), xeev Wisconsin Tsab Cai.

2. Service was not properly made. *Kev xa ntawv tsis raws kev cai xa.*

3. The matter has not been diligently prosecuted.

Qhov teeb meem no tsis tau coj mus hais kom siv zog txaus nkaus.

4. The petitioner has requested a dismissal of this action and/or to vacate the injunction previously entered.

Tus neeg ua ntawv foob no thov kom muaj rooj plaub no rhuav tshem thiab/lossis tshem qhov kev tiv thaiv uas tau teev tseg yav tag los.

5. The parties have stipulated to a dismissal. *Ob tog neeg pom zoo kom muaj rhuav tshem.*

6. Other: _____

Lwm yam:

THE COURT ORDERS: LUB TSEV HAIS PLAUB TXIAV TXIM HAIS TIAS:

This case dismissed and any order previously entered in this case is

Rooj plaub no muab rhoav tshem thiab txhua txoj kev txiav txim yav tag los ntawm rooj plaub no muab rhoav tshem.

dismissed.
 vacated.
rho.

THIS IS A FINAL ORDER FOR PURPOSES OF APPEAL IF SIGNED BY A CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE.
NOV YOG KEV TXIAV TXIM SIAB ZAUM KAWG YOG XAV TAWM TSAM KEV TXIAV TXIM YOG HAIS TIAS TAU KOS NPE LOS NTAWM IB TUS NEEG TXAIV TXIM HAUVTSEV HAIS PLAUB.

BY THE COURT: LOS NTAWM TSEV HAIS PLAUB:

Circuit Court Judge Circuit Court Commissioner
Tus Neeg Txiat Txim Tus Thawj Txiat Txim

DISTRIBUTION:

1. Original - Court
2. Petitioner
3. Respondent
4. Law Enforcement

Name Printed or Typed *Npe Sau lossis Ntaus*

Date *Hnub Tim*